



FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE PRESS IN CANADA

**CASES AND MATERIALS
(Second Edition 1990-1991)**

v. 1

M. David Lepofsky
Counsel, Constitutional Law
Ministry of the Attorney General
for Ontario

Jamie Cameron
Associate Professor
Osgoode Hall Law School
York University

Christopher Bredt
Director, Constitutional Policy
Ministry of the Attorney General
for Ontario

c Copyright 1990

KF

4483

C524

L46

1990

01

45-445-595

LAW LIBRARY

JAN 8 1991

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE PRESS IN CANADA

**CASES AND MATERIALS
(Second Edition 1990-1991)**

M. David Lepofsky
Counsel, Constitutional Law
Ministry of the Attorney General
for Ontario


Jamie Cameron
Associate Professor
Osgoode Hall Law School
York University

Christopher Bredt
Director, Constitutional Policy
Ministry of the Attorney General
for Ontario

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1

	<u>page</u>
INTRODUCTION	i
CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS	vii
CHAPTER I: BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CHARTER INTERPRETATION	1
CHAPTER II: PURPOSES AND FUNCTIONS OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	24
CHAPTER III: PRE-CHARTER CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION IN CANADA	68
CHAPTER IV: THE FREE SPEECH AND PRESS CLAUSES OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION	77
CHAPTER V: THE SCOPE OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION UNDER THE CHARTER	123
CHAPTER VI: SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC SPEECH	179
CHAPTER VII: FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND NATIONAL SECURITY	214
CHAPTER VIII: FALSE AND DEFAMATORY SPEECH	224
CHAPTER IX: HATE PROPAGANDA	268



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/freedomofexpress01lepo_2

CHAPTER I

BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CHARTER INTERPRETATION

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. BASIC APPROACH TO CHARTER INTERPRETATION -- THE ROLE OF THE COURTS	3
<u>Law Society of Upper Canada v. Skapinker</u> , [1984] 1 S.C.R. 357.	3
<u>Reference re. Section 94(2) of the</u> <u>Motor Vehicle Act, R.S.B.C. 1979, c. 288</u> (B.C. Motor Vehicle Reference), [1985] 2 S.C.R. 486.	4
3. PURPOSIVE APPROACH TO THE CHARTER	5
<u>Hunter v. Southam Inc.</u> , [1984] 2 S.C.R. 145.	5
4. UNCONSTITUTIONAL PURPOSE AND EFFECTS OF A LAW	7
a) <u>Purpose and effect in the adjudication of</u> <u>substantive rights-bearing provisions:</u>	7
<u>R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd.</u> , [1985] 1 S.C.R. 295.	7
<u>R. v. Jones</u> , [1986] 2 S.C.R. 284.	8
b) <u>Purpose and effect in adjudication under</u> <u>s. 1 of the Charter:</u>	9
<u>R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd.</u>	9
5. TEST FOR APPLYING SECTION 1	10
<u>R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd.</u>	10
<u>R. v. Oakes</u> , [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103.	10
<u>R. v. Edwards Books and Art Ltd.</u> , [1986] 2 S.C.R. 713.	13

6.	CONTEXTUAL AND NON-CONTEXTUAL APPROACHES TO CHARTER ADJUDICATION	15
	<u>Edmonton Journal v. Alberta (Attorney General)</u> , [1989] 2 S.C.R. 1326.	15
7.	STANDING TO SEEK CHARTER RELIEF	17
	<u>R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd.</u>	18
	<u>Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (A.-G.)</u> , [1989] 1. S.C.R. 927.	20
8.	APPLICATION OF CHARTER -- GOVERNMENT ACTION VERSUS PRIVATE ACTION	21
	<u>R.W.D.S.U v. Dolphin Delivery</u> , [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573.	22

CHAPTER II

PURPOSES AND FUNCTIONS OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	26
2. LEARNED COMMENTARIES ON THE PURPOSES FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	26
John Stuart Mill; <u>On Liberty</u> ; (Middlesex: Penguin Books, 1985).	26
Alexander Meiklejohn; <u>Free Speech and Its Relation to Self-Government</u> ; (New York: Kennikat Press, 1972).	29
Thomas I. Emerson, "Toward a General Theory of the First Amendment", 72 <u>Yale L.J.</u> 877 (1963).	34
Vincent Blasi; "The Checking Value in First Amendment Theory" (1977) <u>American Bar Foundation Research Journal</u> 521.	43
Notes and Questions	47
3. THE HOLMES CORRESPONDENCE	47
Notes and Questions	52
4. JUDICIAL COMMENTARIES ON THE PURPOSES FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	54
a) <u>The Charter's Purposes Generally:</u>	54
<u>R. v. Oakes</u> , [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103.	54
b) <u>The Purposes of Freedom of Expression:</u>	55
<u>Abrams v. United States</u> , 250 U.S. 616 (1919).	55
Notes and Questions	57
<u>Reference re. Alberta Statutes</u> <u>[the Alberta Press case]</u> , [1938] S.C.R. 100.	58

Notes and Questions	60
<u>R.W.D.S.U. v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd.</u> , [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573.	61
<u>Ford v. Quebec (A.-G.)</u> , [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712.	65
Notes and Questions	66

CHAPTER III

PRE-CHARTER CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	69
2. IMPLIED BILL OF RIGHTS JURISPRUDENCE	69
<u>Reference re Alberta Statutes,</u> [1938] S.C.R. 100.	70
<u>Boucher v. The King,</u> [1951] S.C.R. 265.	70
<u>Saumur v. Quebec,</u> [1953] 2 S.C.R. 299.	70
<u>Switzman v. Elbling,</u> [1957] S.C.R. 285.	71
<u>McKay v. the Queen,</u> [1965] S.C.R. 798.	71
<u>Re Nova Scotia Board of Censors and McNeil,</u> [1978] 2 S.C.R. 662.	71
<u>A.-G. Canada v. Dupond,</u> [1978] 2 S.C.R. 770.	72
<u>A.-G. Can. v. Law Society of B.C.; Jabour</u> <u>v. Law Society of B.C.,</u> [1982] 2 S.C.R. 308.	73
Notes and Questions	74
3. THE CANADIAN BILL OF RIGHTS	74
Notes and Questions	75

CHAPTER IV

THE FREE SPEECH AND PRESS CLAUSES OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	79
2. INTRODUCTION TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION	79
<u>Law Society of Upper Canada; Public Law Teaching Materials -- Bar Admission Course, 1988-89, Chapter 19: "Litigating Charter Claims: Legal, Factual and Evidentiary Ammunition".</u>	79
3. USING AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL JURISPRUDENCE IN CHARTER CASES	80
<u>M.David Lepofsky; Open Justice: The Constitutional Right to Attend and Speak About Court Proceedings; (Toronto: Butterworth's, 1985).</u>	82
4. THE EARLY YEARS -- EVOLVING THE CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER TEST	85
<u>Schenck v. United States, 249 U.S. 47 (1919).</u>	85
<u>Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616 (1919).</u>	86
<u>Whitney v. California, 47 S.Ct. 641 (1927).</u>	89
<u>Dennis v. United States, 341 U.S. 494 (1951).</u>	93
5. CONTENT-NEUTRAL TIME, PLACE, AND MANNER RESTRICTIONS ON EXPRESSION	105
<u>Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92 (1972).</u>	105
6. PURPOSIVE AND EFFECTS-BASED LIMITS ON FREE SPEECH	108
<u>Schneider v. State of New Jersey, 60 S.Ct. 146 (1940).</u>	108

	<u>United States v. O'Brien</u> , 391 U.S. 367 (1968).	109
7.	THE SPECIAL POSITION OF PRIOR RESTRAINTS ON EXPRESSION	111
	<u>Near v. State of Minnesota</u> , 51 S.Ct. 625 (1931).	111
8.	FIRST AMENDMENT OVERBREADTH DOCTRINE	115
	<u>Broadrick v. Oklahoma</u> , 413 U.S. 601 (1973).	115

CHAPTER V

THE SCOPE OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION UNDER THE CHARTER

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	125
2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION ENUNCIATED UNDER THE CHARTER	126
<u>R.W.D.S.U., Local 580 v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd.</u> , [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573.	126
Notes and Questions	129
<u>Ford v. Quebec (A.-G.)</u> , [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712.	131
<u>Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (A.-G.)</u> , [1989] 1 S.C.R. 927.	132
Notes and Questions	142
3. COMPELLED SPEECH -- A PRELIMINARY INQUIRY	143
<u>Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson</u> , [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1038.	143
Notes and Questions	146
4. THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN SPEECH AND CONDUCT	146
<u>United States v. O'Brien</u> , 391 U.S. 367 (1968).	147
Notes and Questions	149
<u>Texas v. Johnson</u> , 109 S.Ct. 2533 (1989).	150
Notes and Questions	152
<u>Young v. New York City Transit Authority</u> , 903 F. 2d 146 (1990).	154
Notes and Questions	166

<u>Weisfeld v. Canada,</u> (1989), 42 C.R.R. 238 (F.C.T.D.).	167
Notes and Questions	171
5. THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN BREACH AND JUSTIFICATION	172
6. FURTHER NOTES AND QUESTIONS ON <u>IRWIN TOY</u>	173
7. "TWO-TIER" THEORY	175
<u>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire,</u> 315 U.S. 568 (1942).	175
Notes and Questions	177

CHAPTER VI

SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC EXPRESSION

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	180
2. NOTE: FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE OFFICIAL REGULATION OF THE CONTENT OF EXPRESSION	181
3. CANADIAN LAW ON SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC SPEECH	185
Criminal Code, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-46.	185
Notes and questions	186
4. THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC SPEECH	188
<u>Cohen v. California</u> , 403 U.S. 215 (1971).	188
Notes and Questions	193
<u>Texas v. Johnson</u> , 109 S.Ct. 2533 (1989).	194
Notes and questions	211

CHAPTER VII

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	215
2. BACKGROUND TO THE CANADIAN APPROACH	215
<u>Freedom and Security under the Law, the Second Report of the Commission of Inquiry Concerning Certain Activities of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (August, 1981).</u>	215
Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23.	216
3. PROHIBITING PUBLICATION OF MATERIALS THREATENING TO NATIONAL SECURITY	216
<u>New York Times v. United States,</u> 91 S.Ct. 2140 (1971).	216
<u>U.S. v. Progressive Inc.,</u> 467 F.Supp. 990 (W.D. Wis. 1979).	221
4. DO SPIES HAVE FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION?	221
<u>Snepp v. U.S.,</u> 100 S.Ct. 763 (1980).	221

CHAPTER VIII

FALSE AND DEFAMATORY EXPRESSION

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	225
2. CANADIAN LEGISLATION	226
Criminal Code, R.S.C. 1985 c. C-46, Part VIII -- Offences Against the Person.	226
Libel and Slander Act, R.S.O. 1980 c. 237.	230
3. U.S POSITION UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT	233
✓ <u>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</u> 376 U.S. 254 (1964).	233
Notes and questions	241
✓ <u>Hustler Magazine v. Falwell</u> 108 S.Ct. 876 (1988).	242
Notes and questions	247
4. THE CANADIAN APPROACH	248
a) <u>The Charter and Individual Civil Defamation Claims:</u>	248
✓ <u>Coates v. The Citizen et al.</u> (1988), 44 C.C.L.T., 286 (N.S.T.D.).	248
Notes and Questions	253
b) <u>The Charter and Criminal Proceedings Over Questions of Truth and Falsehood:</u>	258
<u>The Queen v. Zundel</u> (1987), 58 O.R. (2d) 129 (C.A.).	258
Notes and questions	266

CHAPTER IX
HATE PROPAGANDA

	<u>page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	269
2. GROUP DEFAMATION	269
<u>Beauharnais v. Illinois</u> , 343 U.S. 250 (1952).	269
Notes and Questions	279
<u>The Queen v. Keegstra</u> (1988), 43 C.C.C. (3d) 150 (Alta. C.A.).	280
<u>Regina v. Andrews et al.</u> (1988), 65 O.R. (2d) 161 (Ont. C.A.).	291
Notes and Questions	298
3. PUBLIC FORUM CONSIDERATIONS	301
<u>Village of Skokie v. National Socialist</u> <u>Party of America</u> , 366 N.E. (2d) 436 (1977) (Ill. App. Ct.).	301
<u>Village of Skokie v. National Socialist</u> <u>Party of America</u> , 373 N.E. 2d 21 (1978) (S.Ct. Ill.).	311
Notes and Questions	313
D. FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND EQUALITY	314
<u>Re Taylor et al. and Canadian Human</u> <u>Rights Commission et al.</u> (1987), 37 D.L.R. (4th) 577 (F.C.A.).	315
Notes and Questions	319
<u>Doe v. University of Michigan</u> , 721 F. Supp. 852 (1989) (E.D. Mich.).	322
Notes and Questions	339

